INTRODUCTION
Adequate supplies of nutrients for rice crops play a major role in realizing the yield potential. Moreover, the profitability of intensive rice production depends on fertilizer application (Yoseftabar 2013). Among the nutrients, nitrogen (N) is required in comparatively greater quantities than other essential nutrients. Nitrogen plays a vital role in the growth and consequently the yield of crops. Apart from being a part of proteins, N is an essential component of chlorophyll; a chemical crucial for the life-sustaining process, photosynthesis. Qi-ao-gang et al (2013) reported that deficiency of soil nitrogen is one of the main limiting factors for achieving high rice yield. Due to that constant replenishment through extraneous nitrogen inputs becomes mandatory for optimal yield. However, within the soil, the included nitrogen undergoes several complex physical and chemical transformations, which may decrease or increase the availability of nitrogen fertilizer to the plant. Rice varieties may respond differently to N fertilizer. Rice cultivars under high N fertilizer applications may not be suitable for soils with low N status. Even after the application of high rates of fertilizer N to rice, expected yield levels might not be obtained. If plant N status can be increased without lodging or increasing the incidence of pests and diseases, a significant increase in yield requires increased sink capacity, maintenance of high leaf N content and a longer
period for nitrogen absorption by rice plants is from tillering to flowering, during this period the absorption of soil nitrogen is at its maximum rate (Qiao-gang et al 2013).

Hirzel et al (2011) have confirmed high productivity of flooded rice in Chile with the split application of nitrogen as 33% N at sowing, 33% at tillering, and 34% at panicle initiation, or 50% N at sowing and 50% at panicle initiation when N fertilizer was added to the rate of 140 or 160 kg ha$^{-1}$. The low grain yield recorded for the basal application of the entire recommended dose at planting could be due to low available nitrogen due to loss by denitrification, leaching and volatilization. Qiao-gang et al (2013) reported the highest loss of nitrogen due to ammonia volatilization from basal fertilizer application. According to this observation, it could provide the timely application of urea is essential for better production. This study was, therefore, designed to investigate the effect of time of nitrogen application on rice growth, yield and yield components.

Understanding the response of nitrogen application on grain filling rate, yield and other grain quality parameters of newly improved rice line compared to standard variety with.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
The experiment was conducted at the research field of the Rice Research Station, Ambalantota (area lies between latitude 60.130 N and longitude 810.032 W) in 2017 Maha season with one elite red pericarp breeding line At 08 1078 and standard variety At 362. Detailed studies on these subjects have not been conducted so far under Sri Lankan conditions. Regarding that, an experiment was conducted to find out the effect of nitrogen application on grain filling rate, yield and other grain quality parameters of newly improved rice line compared standard variety with.
Five nitrogen levels; 0, 50, 100, 150, and 200 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) were used and treatments arranged in a split-plot design with 3 replicates which was executed after 2, 4, 6, and 7 weeks. Reddish-brown and half bog soil type were recognized in the experimental site. The gross plot area and net plot area were 18 m\(^2\) and 12.96 m\(^2\). Respectively main plots were separated by a ridge (40 cm in width). Phosphorus (45 kg ha\(^{-1}\) P\(_2\)O\(_5\) as triple superphosphate) was applied as the basal dressing and potassium (20 kg ha\(^{-1}\) K\(_2\)O as Muriate of potash) was applied to all the plots after four and six weeks from sowing. The main irrigation water supply was done through the Ridiyagama tank and was provided separately for each plot avoiding contaminations using a specially constructed bund system. Sixty-five panicles that headed on the same day from each subplot were chosen and tagged by waxed labels. Eight tagged panicles from each subplot were collected at four-day intervals commencing from 5% heading to maturity and considered for further analysis and data collections. A total number of grains of each panicle was separated manually and dried at 70 °C for 72 hrs and 1000 grain weights were recorded and the grain filling curves were plotted. The grain filling rate was calculated using the following equation.

\[
\text{Grain filling rate (g/day)} = \frac{\text{Increase of 1000 grain weight (g)}}{\text{number of days taken to grain filling}}
\]

Other growth parameters such as plant height, number of tillers per square meter, number of panicle and number of grain in each panicle were counted manually. Ten to fifteen numbers of seeds from different treatments were taken separately to measure grain length and width (with and without husk). After harvesting, straw weight and grain yield (moisture level at 12%) were measured. After dehusking the paddy brown rice content and hardness (Hardness tester model no 174886 Japan) were determined. Lodging was assessed following the guidelines of the International Rice Research Institute (Standard evaluation system 2013). Analysis of variance was performed using STAR for Windows version 2.0.1(IRRI 2014). All graphical designs were done using the Microsoft Excel 2010 version.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figures 1A and B illustrate the thousand-grain weight (TGW) of the elite line At 08 1078 and the variety At 362. TGM values increased from heading until the 32\(^{nd}\) day irrespective of the nitrogen levels or varieties (Table 2).

### Table 1: Comparison between elite breeding line At 08 1078 and standard variety At 362

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant characters</th>
<th>At 08 1078</th>
<th>At 362</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grain length with husk (mm)</td>
<td>8.49</td>
<td>8.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain width with husk (mm)</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pericarp colour</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain colour</td>
<td>Straw</td>
<td>Straw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain type</td>
<td>Long medium</td>
<td>Long medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panicle type</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain shattering (%)</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of spikelets /panicle (total)</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culm length (cm)</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>69.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal leaf sheath colour</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stigma colour</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dormancy period (weeks)</td>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>3-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panicle number/900 cm(^2) (per 30×30 cm)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the onset of heading (at 5%) the TGW of every treatment was significantly equal in At 08 1078 (ranged from 2.95 to 3.27 g) and At 362 (ranged from 2.96 to 3.13 g). The TGM difference was noticeable (≥ 0.05) after 8 days of heading in grains fertilized with 100 or more than 100 N ha⁻¹. This pattern of TGW differences was observed in both elite breeding line At 08 1078 and standard variety At 362. After 12 days of heading significant increase of grain filling rate was observed in high N treatments (≥100kg N ha⁻¹) and both varieties showed the same pattern of increase in grain filling rate within different N levels (Figure 1). However, there was no significant difference between 150 and 200 kg N ha⁻¹ rates on grain filling rates except after 20 days of heading in At 08 1078 (Figure 1).

Guohui et al (2018) have reported the completion of grain filling of the spikelet in 19 days after heading under low nitrogen application (21 kg N ha⁻¹) and 23 days under the high nitrogen application. This study gives a different picture of grain filling where it has taken 32 days to complete the grain filling under each N level and the TGW at the completion was almost the same at 150 and 200 kg N ha⁻¹ levels (Table 2). However, the grain filling rates of varieties between N treatments were quite similar after 28 days of heading (Figure 1). A similar finding of Wickremasinghe

Table 2: Variation of 1000 paddy grains weight from 5% heading to maturity of the newly improved elite breeding line (At 08 1078) and stranded variety (At 362)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days from 5% headings</th>
<th>Nitrogen level kg ha⁻¹</th>
<th>Elite breeding line At 08 1078 - 1000 grain weight (g)</th>
<th>Variety At 362 - 1000 grain weight (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>N50</td>
<td>N100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.95 a</td>
<td>2.99 a</td>
<td>3.27 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.11 bc</td>
<td>3.44 ab</td>
<td>3.67 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.16 b</td>
<td>7.98 b</td>
<td>9.89 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>11.73 b</td>
<td>13.86 b</td>
<td>13.43 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>14.90 c</td>
<td>16.47 bc</td>
<td>17.71 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>17.32 d</td>
<td>19.03 c</td>
<td>20.82 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>17.87 d</td>
<td>19.60 c</td>
<td>21.65 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>18.25 d</td>
<td>19.55 c</td>
<td>21.74 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>19.12 c</td>
<td>21.22 b</td>
<td>22.58 ab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Mean values with the same letter (s) are not significantly different in each row (α = 0.05, CV – coefficient of variance)
(1987) stated that TGW was higher when plants were treated with high nitrogen levels (225 urea kg ha\(^{-1}\) or 105 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) and up to certain nitrogen levels may enhance the grain filling period of some rice varieties. A related study by Aobu-Khalifa (2012) have stated the significant increase of TGW and grain filling rate with the application of different soil nitrogen levels on improved rice varieties in Egypt such as Sakha 105, Sakha 106, GZ 7565, GZ 9075 and GZ 9362.

Nitrogen is an essential element of chlorophyll production and affects the increase of chlorophyll pigments in the plant cells which helps to boost up the light reaction of the photosynthetic process and thereby induce the carbohydrates production (Qiao-gang et al 2013).

Figure 1 A and B show the grain filling rate of rice as grams per day for 1000 grains. According to that, from heading to the end of the grain filling there was a variation in grain filling rate at each nitrogen level throughout the growth. At 12 days after heading the increase of weight per day is the highest recorded weight of 2.0 g and 1.9 g in At 08 1078 and At 362 respectively. As there is no significant increase of grain filling rate or TGW between high N levels (100, 150 and 200 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) application of nitrogen at the rate of 100 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) found to be the optimum level of N for At 08 1078 and At 362.

Adigrat University and Humera Agricultural Research Center collaboratively experimented to observe the yield response of rice with different nitrogen levels, according to the results

![Figure 1: Grain filling rates g/per day for 1000 grains (A - At 08 1078 and B - At 362)](image)

![Figure 2: Variation of rice grain yield (A) and number of panicles per m\(^2\) (B) of At 08 1078 and At 362 with different nitrogen levels](image)
it was shown that grain yield was increased maximally at the recommended level (95 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) of N-fertilizer application and a further increase in N has not given any yield improvement (Gebrelibanos et al. 2016). Figure 2A illustrates the grain yield of rice varieties at each N level. Compared to the control treatment (0 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) yield obtained from other treatments was high (≥ 0.05). Along with the increase of N level from 0 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) to 100 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) grain yield has improved from 3.9 t ha\(^{-1}\) to 5.9 t ha\(^{-1}\) in At 08 1078 and from 3.9 t ha\(^{-1}\) to 5.9 t ha\(^{-1}\) in At 362 (≥ 0.05). But, the addition of 150 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) or 200 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) has not influenced the grain yield further in both varieties (Figure 2A). Elite breeding line At 08 1078 and standard variety At 362 showed similar grain yield response under each N level consecutively 100, 150 and 200 kg ha\(^{-1}\) (Figure 2A). A related experimental outcome was observed by Gebrelibanos et al. (2016). Saha et al. (1998) also show that panicle formation and differentiation ability with the application of different rate of soil nitrogen which affecting grain filling and yield response which was comparable to this study.

Variation in the number of panicles per unit area/m\(^2\) (Figure 2B) was observed with different nitrogen levels. It was observed that the number of panicles increased with increasing nitrogen levels which had been significant at 100 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) and thereafter it was constant with further application of soil N. Gebrelibanos et al. (2016) showed that the number of active panicles per unit area increased with increasing level of soil N where the similar trend was observed in this study as well. Hirzel et al. (2011) further confirmed the above findings of N requirement for panicle production of rice with the application of different rates of urea. According to that the optimum level of urea application was found to be 255 kg ha\(^{-1}\) and no enhancement in panicle number at further addition of urea.

The grain hardness of brown rice (Figure 3A) increased with increasing level of nitrogen, which resulted due to the compaction of the carbohydrate grain molecules in the seeds; similarly Keeling et al. 1988 found carbohydrate compaction in wheat grains. It was observed that the increment in hardness values with increasing level of nitrogen. Elite breeding line At 08 1078 shows higher hardness compared to the At 362.

An increase in the percent of brown rice was accounted with increasing the level of soil nitrogen (Figure 3B). The high brown rice content of a variable represents the high milling yield which is favourably considered by rice millers. As reported by Chandel et al. (2010) the brown rice grain protein content has increased significantly (1.1% to 7.0%) under higher nitrogen fertilizer application of 120 kg/hm\(^2\). In addition, Resurreccion et al. (1979) have found that the brown rice with higher protein content was more resistant to abrasive milling than brown rice with lower
protein in the same variety. Therefore, an increase of soil nitrogen to an optimal level would be favourable to have high milling out turn. The tested two varieties presented a similar trend in brown rice enhancement with nitrogen application but, At 362 had given more brown rice compared to At 08 1078 at the optimum level (100 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) of nitrogen application.

Table 3 describes the variation in mean plant height as a growth parameter of At 08 1078 and At 362 during 6\(^{th}\) week after sowing to 14\(^{th}\) week. By the end of the 10\(^{th}\) week, where the vegetative growth comes to its maximum height, plants have reached is the plateau. But, there are variations in plant heights within nitrogen levels. Most of the time when the nitrogen levels higher the plant height has increased. In this study, it was shown that application of 100 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) significantly increased the plant height and further increasing of soil nitrogen levels as at 150 and 200 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) were not significantly affected on plant height. Confirming the great plant biomass

Gebrelignos et al (2016) also observed the variation of plant height with different soil nitrogen levels. Each variety showed an increasing trend in plant height with the increase of nitrogen, straw yields were recorded high (≥ 0.05) is treated with high nitrogen (≥ 100 kg N h\(^{-1}\)). Straw weight increased continuously with increasing soil nitrogen level.

The number of spikelets per panicle varied within nitrogen levels. Varieties behaved differently at high nitrogen levels. There was a gradual increase in the number of spikelets per panicle with nitrogen level in At 08 1078 while there was no increase in the number of spikelets per panicle in At 362 beyond 100 kg N h\(^{-1}\). Ding et al (2014) observed the biosynthesis of Cytokin in the rice plant with increasing nitrogen fertilizer application rate which influenced to increase in the number of flowers per rice panicle that is comparable to the number of the spikelet of rice panicle.

Paddy grain dimensions such as length and width varied between treatments of nitrogen

Table 3: Variation of growth, yield and quality parameters of rice at different nitrogen levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth, yield &amp; quality parameters</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>150</th>
<th>200</th>
<th>CV%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plant height (cm) - 6wks (At 08 1078)</td>
<td>47.50(^{a})</td>
<td>50.50(^{b})</td>
<td>61.61(^{b})</td>
<td>65.61(^{a})</td>
<td>68.94(^{a})</td>
<td>9.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant height (cm) - 6wks (At 362)</td>
<td>45.57(^{b})</td>
<td>50.27(^{c})</td>
<td>59.94(^{b})</td>
<td>60.50(^{b})</td>
<td>65.16(^{a})</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant height (cm) - 8wks (At 08 1078)</td>
<td>61.50(^{b})</td>
<td>75.47(^{b})</td>
<td>84.97(^{a})</td>
<td>80.17(^{a})</td>
<td>80.77(^{a})</td>
<td>7.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant height (cm) - 8wks (At 362)</td>
<td>75.95(^{c})</td>
<td>78.88(^{b})</td>
<td>79.63(^{b})</td>
<td>85.20(^{a})</td>
<td>84.54(^{a})</td>
<td>10.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant height (cm) - 10wks (At 08 1078)</td>
<td>68.06(^{b})</td>
<td>88.00(^{a})</td>
<td>88.90(^{a})</td>
<td>89.85(^{b})</td>
<td>96.93(^{a})</td>
<td>3.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant height (cm) - 10wks (At 362)</td>
<td>72.76(^{a})</td>
<td>86.41(^{b})</td>
<td>89.22(^{a})</td>
<td>91.50(^{a})</td>
<td>89.88(^{a})</td>
<td>5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant height (cm) - 12wks (At 08 1078)</td>
<td>67.22(^{b})</td>
<td>85.66(^{b})</td>
<td>89.18(^{b})</td>
<td>89.18(^{b})</td>
<td>99.61(^{a})</td>
<td>4.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant height (cm) - 12wks (At 362)</td>
<td>74.44(^{b})</td>
<td>88.33(^{b})</td>
<td>91.11(^{a})</td>
<td>89.55(^{a})</td>
<td>89.66(^{a})</td>
<td>6.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant height (cm) - 14wks (At 08 1078)</td>
<td>75.27(^{a})</td>
<td>80.14(^{b})</td>
<td>91.54(^{a})</td>
<td>91.80(^{a})</td>
<td>96.30(^{a})</td>
<td>8.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant height (cm) - 14wks (At 362)</td>
<td>73.06(^{b})</td>
<td>83.26(^{b})</td>
<td>88.72(^{a})</td>
<td>89.77(^{a})</td>
<td>93.58(^{a})</td>
<td>5.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straw weight (kg) At 08 1078/net plot area</td>
<td>5.65(^{a})</td>
<td>8.37(^{d})</td>
<td>12.60(^{c})</td>
<td>15.07(^{b})</td>
<td>17.47(^{a})</td>
<td>7.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straw weight (kg) At 362/net plot area</td>
<td>5.9(^{d})</td>
<td>8.64(^{c})</td>
<td>13.3(^{b})</td>
<td>16.66(^{a})</td>
<td>17.23(^{a})</td>
<td>8.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of spikelets per panicles (At 08 1078)</td>
<td>88.33(^{c})</td>
<td>91.33(^{c})</td>
<td>94.33(^{b})</td>
<td>92.33(^{b})</td>
<td>122.66(^{a})</td>
<td>12.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of spikelets per panicles (At 362)</td>
<td>78.53(^{c})</td>
<td>106.00(^{b})</td>
<td>112.00(^{a})</td>
<td>113.66(^{a})</td>
<td>116.66(^{a})</td>
<td>9.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed length with husk At 08 1078 (mm)</td>
<td>8.46(^{c})</td>
<td>8.82(^{a})</td>
<td>8.49(^{c})</td>
<td>8.88(^{a})</td>
<td>8.65(^{b})</td>
<td>11.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed width with husk At 08 1078 (mm)</td>
<td>2.71(^{b})</td>
<td>2.72(^{b})</td>
<td>2.80(^{a})</td>
<td>2.80(^{a})</td>
<td>2.79(^{a})</td>
<td>9.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed length with husk At 362 (mm)</td>
<td>8.64(^{c})</td>
<td>8.53(^{c})</td>
<td>8.97(^{a})</td>
<td>8.69(^{b})</td>
<td>8.82(^{b})</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed width with husk At 362 (mm)</td>
<td>2.60(^{a})</td>
<td>2.61(^{c})</td>
<td>2.72(^{b})</td>
<td>2.99(^{a})</td>
<td>2.77(^{a})</td>
<td>6.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

wks—weeks. Note: Mean values with the same letter are not significantly different in each row (α = 0.05, CV – coefficient of variance)
applications. Grain length and width of At 08 1078 ranged from 8.46 to 8.88 mm and 2.71 to 2.80 mm respectively. Those dimensions of At 362 ranged from 8.53 to 8.97 mm and 2.60 to 2.99 mm. Compared to the paddy grains of the control treatment (0 kg N ha$^{-1}$) nitrogen treated plots with $\geq 100$ kg N ha$^{-1}$ had larger grain dimensions (Table 3).

Application of soil nitrogen fertilizer affects the cell division and tissues development of the plants and over dosage of urea application influence tissue softening (Yosida 1981) thereby crop lodging is persuaded. Table 4 shows that the lodging status of At 08 1078 and At 362 with five different nitrogen levels. However, partial lodging of both varieties was reported at 100kg N ha$^{-1}$ level and further increases of soil nitrogen level as 150 and 200 kg N ha$^{-1}$ were reported to partially or fully lodging as table 4.

**CONCLUSION**

Lowest grain yield 3.9 and 4.3 t/ha were reported at 0 kg N ha$^{-1}$ level in At 08 1078 and At 362 respectively. Grain yield and yield components were significant at 100 kg N ha$^{-1}$ with increasing the nitrogen rate of both elite breeding line At 08 1078 and variety At 362. Grain filling rate was significant at the 100 kg N ha$^{-1}$ and which lowest at the 0 kg N ha$^{-1}$. Over dose ($\geq 100$ kg N ha$^{-1}$) of soil nitrogen was not significantly improved the yield, yield components and grain quality of both At 08 1078 and At 362. Application of urea as nitrogen fertilizer at the rate of 100 kg N ha$^{-1}$ was given as significant level for grain filling, yield and growth parameters of both varieties.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Replicate</th>
<th>N0</th>
<th>N50</th>
<th>N100</th>
<th>N150</th>
<th>N200</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At 08 1078</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Lodging with different N levels - At 362 |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Replicate</th>
<th>N0</th>
<th>N50</th>
<th>N100</th>
<th>N150</th>
<th>N200</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: No Lodging - (1), Most plant slight lodged (more than 50%) - (3), Most plants moderately lodged (5), Most plant nearly flat (7), All plants flat (9)


