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ABSTRACT

Wholesale prices as well as retail prices of rice increased sharply towards the end of 2007 and 2008. The year 2008/09 rice prices of Samba, Kakulu and Nadu rice have reached Rs 70, Rs 65 and Rs 50 respectively. Therefore, the objective of this study were to identify the price behaviour of rice in Sri Lanka, to develop the appropriate price forecasting model for rice and to identify the farmers’ perception on high rice price in recent past. Year wise nominal market price for Samba, Nadu, and Kakulu rice were collected form different secondary sources. Further, a survey was carried out in Thissamaharama to measure the farmers’ perception on high rice prices in the recent past. Forty five farmers were randomly selected to collect the primary data. Year-wise nominal market price (NMP) of all types of rice had increased continuously. However, the real market prices (RMP) of rice have decreased. The Compound Growth Model (CGR) was most appropriate to analyze the price behaviour of Samba and Nadu rice while quadratic model was suitable for Kakulu rice in term of NMP. Further, CGR model was suitable to analyze the price behaviour of Samba while Quadratic model was suitable for Nadu and Kakulu rice in term of RMP. However, 44% of farmers were satisfied with the high rice price. According to the farmer view, price increment was a result of oligopolistic behaviour of middlemen and insufficient government involvement to control the price.
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INTRODUCTION

Sri Lanka is a developing country with an area of 65,610km² and population of 20.2 million as estimated in 2008, (Central Bank 2008). Agriculture has been the backbone of the Sri Lankan economy with one-thirds of the population being dependent on agriculture. Agricultural sector contributes about 12.1% of the country’s GDP and 32.7% of the total employment, (Central Bank 2008). Rice is the main crop cultivated by the majority of farmers in rural areas and it is the staple food of the 18.6 million inhabitants in Sri Lanka. Further, it is the livelihood of more than 1.8 million farmers. Rice contributes 1.8% of country’s GDP, (Central Bank 2008). Rice is cultivated in almost all parts of the country, except at very high altitudes as a wetland crop, (Henegedara 2002). Gross extent sown and production in year 2008 were 1.053 thousand hectares and 3,875 thousand metric tons. Paddy production in 2008 year was increased by 23.8%, (Central Bank 2008). Though the domestic production increased yala 2007 and maha 2007/08 season, wholesale prices as well as retail prices of rice increased sharply towards the end of 2007 and 2008. Therefore, cost of living of the consumer is increasing rapidly. The price of rice in end year 2008 have reached Rs 70, Rs 65 and Rs 50 for Samba, Kakulu and Nadu, rice respectively. The Government stipulated the maximum retail prices for rice, on 17th of April 2008 to reduce the cost of living of the consumer. In this sense, forecasting of rice price is very important for all the parties such as consumer, farmers, marketers and policy makers. Therefore, this study attempted to analyses the price behaviour of rice in recent past with following specific objectives, 1. to identify the price behaviour of rice in Sri Lanka in recent past after the liberalization of economy, 2. to develop the appropriate price forecasting model for rice and 3. to identify the farmers perception on high rice price in recent past (year 2007-2008).

METHODOLOGY

Year-wise nominal market price for Samba, Nadu, and Kakulu rice were collected form different secondary sources Central Bank annual reports, report of Department Census and Statistic etc. For Samba and Nadu, time duration was considered as 23 years from 1985 to 2008. Further, twelve year time duration from 1996 to 2008 was taken for Kakulu rice because limited availability of data. Time Series (TS) plots were used to identify the major price behaviour patterns against the time factor, (Madridakis et al. 1983). Both Nominal Market Price (NMP) and Real Market Price (RMP) were analyzed with the time. Colombo consumer price
Correlation between prices of different types of rice was analyzed by using Karl Pearson’s product movement correlation coefficient, (Majumdar 2002). The correlation coefficient was defined in the equation (ii). Its value varies from minus one to plus one. If the value is zero there is no relationship between two prices of rice.

\[ r = \frac{\Sigma XY - (\Sigma X)(\Sigma Y)/N}{\sqrt{[\Sigma X^2 - (\Sigma X)^2]/N}[\Sigma Y^2 - (\Sigma Y)^2]/N} \]  

(ii)

Where,  
\[ r = \text{Correlation coefficient}, \ X = \text{Price of one type of rice}, \ Y = \text{Price of second type of rice} \]  
and  
\[ N = \text{Number of observations} \]

Price behaviour of rice were tested by using different TS models viz; linear (\( Y = a + bX \)), Quadratic (\( Y = a + bX + b_2X^2 \)) and Compound Growth Rate (CGR) (\( Y = ab^X \)) models with the time factor. CGR was calculated by employing the equation (iii).

\[ \text{CGR} = (b - 1) 100 \]  

(iii)

The goodness of fit of model was tested by using coefficients of determination (R²), (Majumdar 2002). Coefficients of determination was measured by applying formula (iv)

\[ R^2 = \frac{\Sigma (y^2 - \bar{y}^2)}{\Sigma (y - \bar{y})^2} \]  

(iv)

Whereas,  
\[ Y = \text{production} \]  
\[ y^2 = \text{predicted production} \]  
and  
\[ \bar{y} = \text{mean production} \]

Based on the selected best TS model, appropriate price forecasting model for different types of rice were developed. Further, a survey was carried out to measure the farmers’ perception on high rice prices in the recent past. The selected research site was Thissamaharama DS division. Rice farmers were selected as the target population. Primary data was collected from farmers by personal interviews with the help of a structured interview schedule. By considering the land extent of the farmers large (higher than 2.0ha), medium (in between 0.41 to 1.9ha) and small (less than 0.40ha) scale rice farmers were randomly selected for the sample survey. Fifteen farmers from each category were selected to form a sample of 45.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The nominal price behaviour of different types of rice in the time period is shown in Figure 1. Year-wise prices of all types of rice had increased continuously. Further, two distinct regions could be identified from the TS plot for NMP of rice for the period from 1985 to 2008. The region 1 could be recognized from 1985 to 2006 with relatively a low gradient. Similarly, region 2 could be identified from 2006 to 2008 with a sharp gradient. Price of all the types of rice have increased with the same pattern. Nevertheless, the RMP of all types of rice have shown decreasing trend (Figure 2). Similar results have been found by Hathurusinghe and Ravichandran (2004), Rupasena et al. (2008) for their study. Hathurusinghe and Ravichandran (2004) analyzed the price of rice in Sri Lanka for the period 1985 to 2002 using percentages, averages and ratios. This analysis showed declining trend in real prices. Further, prices increments of different types of rice have shown a positive correlation. It means that increment of one type of rice price leads to increase the prices of other two types (Table 1). Accordingly the prices of Samba, Nadu and Kakulu rice have increased together.

The R² of linear regression model, Quadratic model and CRG model were shown Table 2 in term of NMP and RMP. The CRG model was most appropriate to analyze the price behaviour of Samba (R² = 97.3%) and Nadu rice (R² = 96.7.3%) while Quadratic model was suitable for Kakulu rice (R² = 85.4%) in terms of NMP. Further, CGR model was most appropriate to analyze the price behaviour of Samba (R² = 95.2%). However, Quadratic model was suitable for Nadu rice (R² = 88.1%) and Kakulu rice (R² = 88.8%) than CRD model in real terms of price of rice.

The nominal price of Samba rice has increased 7.0% annually while price of Nadu rice has increased 7.8% annually from 1985 to 2008. The price of Kakulu rice has increased by 6.0% annually. These results are supported by the result observed by Rupasena et al. 2006. He has found the annual compound growth rate of farm price in nom-
Table 1: Correlation matrix of different type of rice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Samba</th>
<th>Kakulu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nadu</td>
<td>r = .994 (p= .00)</td>
<td>r = .969 (p = 0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samba</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kakulu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The behaviour of RMP of different types of rice

Farmers’ perceptions on reasons for high retail prices of rice during first quarter of 2008 have shown contradictory results. Around 31.1% of farmers mentioned that it was a result of oligopolistic behaviour of middlemen while 20.0 percent have mentioned that insufficient government involvement to control the price. In addition, equal percent (15.6%) of farmers have indicated that low rice supply from eastern province and reduced rice imports. On the other hand, 44.4% of farmers have satisfied with high rice prices. Further, 33.3% of farmers have mentioned that the price has not positively affected the increase the overall profit margin of rice farming since increment of the cost of production at the same time.

CONCLUSIONS

Year-wise nominal prices of all types of rice had increased continuously. Nevertheless, the real prices of all types of rice have shown decreasing trend. The price of Samba, Nadu and Kakulu rice has increased by 7.0, 7.8 and 6.0% per annum, respectively. With regard to the real prices of Samba, Nadu and Kakulu rice have decreased by 4 %, 3% and 5%, respectively per annum. Therefore, farmers’ real income has not increased significantly. CGR model was most appropriate model to analyze the price behaviour of Samba and Nadu rice while Quadratic model was suitable for Kakulu rice in terms of nominal price. Further, CGR model was suitable to analyze the price behaviour of Samba while Quadratic model was suitable for Nadu and Kakulu rice. According to the farmer, price increment was a result of oligopolistic behaviour of middlemen, insufficient government involvement to control the price. However, 44.4% of farmers have satisfied about high rice price.

Table 2: Coefficients of determination for different price forecasting models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rice type</th>
<th>$R^2$ for nominal price (%)</th>
<th>$R^2$ for real price (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Linear regression model</td>
<td>Quadratic model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samba</td>
<td>93.9</td>
<td>95.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nadu</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>96.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kakulu</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>85.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Price forecasting model for both NMP and RMP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rice type</th>
<th>Price forecasting model for NMP</th>
<th>Price forecasting model for RMP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Samba</td>
<td>$Y_t = 1.07t$</td>
<td>$Y_t = 6.96x 0.96t$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nadu</td>
<td>$Y_t = 1.08t$</td>
<td>$Y_t = 4.28 - 0.003t^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kakulu</td>
<td>$Y_t = 29.9 - 2.14t + 0.31t^2$</td>
<td>$Y_t = 1.27 - 0.03t + 0.001t^2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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